

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,138	04/16/2001	Nikolaus Demharter	205689US22	4858
22850	7590 01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
•	PIVAK, MCCLELLA	PHAN, RAYMOND NGAN		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2111	¥
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/20/2004	$\mathcal{T}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/835,138	DEMHARTER ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond Phan	2111				
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,—	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowe		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2111

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

## Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-6 are pending.
- 2. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2111.

## **Specification**

# Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 2111

(k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deschepper et al. (US No. 6,070,215) in view of Meiyappan (US No. 6,519,670).

In regard to claim 1, Deschepper et al. disclose a data processing device comprising a first circuit configuration 50 which connects to a first bus 55 with a second bus 17 (see figure 2, col. 7, lines 4-60); a second circuit 60 connects to the first bus 55 (see figure 2). But Deschepper et al. do not specifically disclose wherein the second circuit is equipped with output port which is connected with the input port of the first circuit. However Meiyappan discloses the HBA 404 has the ouput connected to the input of bus master 401 (see figure 4A, col. 7, line 66 through col. 8, line 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Meiyappan within the system of Deschepper et al. because it would minimize the local bus monopolization problem that occur where a fast device on the processor bus continually attempts to access a slower bus.

Art Unit: 2111

In regard to claim 3, Deschepper et al. disclose wherein the first circuit and the second circuit are connected separately (see figure 2).

In regard to claim 4, Deschepper et al. disclose wherein the first and second circuits are arranged in joined integrated circuit (see figure 2, col. 7, lines 14-60).

In regard to claim 5, Deschepper et al. disclose a data processing device comprising a first circuit configuration 50 which connects to a first bus 55 with a second bus 17 (see figure 2, col. 7, lines 4-60); a second circuit 60 connects to the first bus 55 (see figure 2). But Deschepper et al. do not specifically disclose wherein the second circuit is equipped with output port which is connected with the input port of the first circuit; generating the wait signal in the second circuit; transmitting the wait signal from the second circuit to the first circuit; allow the first circuit to wait unitl the second circuit ends the wait signal. However Meiyappan discloses the HBA 404 has the ouput connected to the input of bus master 401 (see figure 4A, col. 7, line 66 through col. 8, line 27); generating the wait signal in the second (see col. 7, line 66 through col. 8, line 27); transmitting the wait signal from the second circuit to the first circuit (see col. 7, line 66 through col. 8, line 27); allow the first circuit to wait until the second circuit ends the wait signal (see col. 7, line 66 through col. 8, line 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Meiyappan within the system of Deschepper et al. because it would minimize the local bus monopolization problem that occur where a fast device on the processor bus continually attempts to access a slower bus.

Art Unit: 2111

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 2 and 6 are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine any of the said prior arts which teach a third circuit configuration is arranged, which is equipped with a second output port that is connected with the first output port through a logical OR function wherein the output port of the OR function is connected with the input port of the first circuit configuration.

#### **Conclusion**

- 7. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected. Claims 2 and 6 are objected.
- 8. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sademaa (US No. 5,978,859) discloses an implementation of timing between a microprocessor and its peripheral devices.

Matsumoto (US No. 5,572,706) discloses an electronic equipment having controllable access times for detachable cartridges.

Abramson (US No. 6,529,980) discloses a superposition of host bridge status onto an existing request/grant signal protocol.

Art Unit: 2111

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Raymond Phan, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary, Paul Myers can be reached on (703) 305-9656 or via e-mail addressed to paul.myers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [raymond.phan@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PP

**Raymond Phan** 1/24/04